

**AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES
FOR STREAM RESTORATION PROJECTS
MASTER AGREEMENT
COF Contract No. 2011-0032**

THIS AMENDMENT is made and entered into on this the 22nd day of March 2, 2011, by and between the **City of Franklin, Tennessee** ("City") and **URS Corporation (URS)** ("Consultant").

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, City and Consultant entered into a Professional Engineering Services Master Agreement ("Agreement") Stream Restoration Projects COF Contract No 2010-0156), dated the 9th day of November, 2010; and

WHEREAS, the City has identified a stream (Green Branch) within the Maplewood Subdivision area that requires restoration due to being damaged during the May 2010 flooding that occurred in Franklin; and

WHEREAS, the Consultant has provided a Proposal for the Green Branch Restoration Project (Project) which includes a Scope of Services as described in **Exhibit A - Green Branch Stream Restoration Project Cost Estimates**, dated February 17, 2011; and

WHEREAS, the City has reviewed the Proposal and desires to enter into an agreement for Design Tasks 1 through 6 for the Project as proposed and indicated in the Estimated Probable Cost Estimate.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of these premises and the mutual promises contained herein, it is agreed by and between the parties as follows:

1. The foregoing recitals are incorporated by reference as if fully stated herein.
2. Consultant's Responsibilities and Duties. Consultant agrees to perform the work as proposed in their February 17, 2011 letter of proposal (**Exhibit A**) which includes the Scope of Services and Cost Estimate for Design Tasks 1 through 6 of this Amendment, all of which shall be considered as an integral part hereof.
3. City's Responsibilities and Duties. City shall pay Consultant for the cost of the work as described in Exhibit A an amount not to exceed **Fifty-Three Thousand Seventy and Eighty-Five/100 Dollars (\$53,070.85)**.

The City reserves the right to issue any payments jointly to the Consultant and Sub-Consultant when the City receives information that the Consultant has not paid its Sub-Consultant.

4. Waiver. Neither party's failure nor delay to exercise any of its rights or powers under this Amendment will constitute or be deemed a waiver or forfeiture of those rights or powers. For a waiver of a right or power to be effective, it must be in writing signed by the waiving party. An effective waiver of a right or power shall not be construed as either (a) a future or continuing waiver of that same right or power, or (b) the waiver of any other right or power.

5. Severability. If any term or provision of the Amendment is held to be illegal or unenforceable, the validity or enforceability of the remainder of the Amendment will not be affected.

6. Precedence. In the event of conflict between this Amendment and the provisions of the previous Agreement(s), or any other contract, agreement or other document to which this Amendment may accompany or incorporate by reference, the provisions of this Amendment will, to the extent of such conflict (or to the extent the Agreement is silent), take precedence unless such document expressly states that it is amending this Amendment.

7. Entire Agreement. The Amendment between the parties supersedes any prior or contemporaneous communications, representations or agreements between the parties, whether oral or written, regarding the subject matter of the entire Amendment. The terms and conditions of this Amendment may not be changed except by an amendment expressly referencing this Amendment by section number and signed by an authorized representative of each party.

8. Additions/Modifications. If seeking any addition or modification to the Amendment, the parties agree to reference the specific paragraph number sought to be changed on any future document or purchase order issued in furtherance of the Amendment, however, an omission of the reference to same shall not affect its applicability. In no event shall either party be bound by any terms contained in any purchase order, acknowledgement, or other writings unless: (a) such purchase order, acknowledgement, or other writings specifically refer to the Amendment or to the specific clause they are intended to modify; (b) clearly indicate the intention of both parties to override and modify the Amendment; and (c) such purchase order, acknowledgement, or other writings are signed, with specific material clauses separately initialed, by authorized representatives of both parties.

9. Breach. Upon deliberate breach of the Amendment by either party, the non-breaching party shall be entitled to terminate the Amendment without

notice, with all of the remedies it would have in the event of termination, and may also have such other remedies as it may be entitled to in law or in equity.

10. Survival. This Amendment shall survive the completion of or any termination of the original contract, revised contract, or agreement or other document to which it may accompany or incorporate by reference.

All other provisions of the Agreement dated November 9, 2010, are unchanged and remain in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Amendment.

The CITY OF FRANKLIN, TENNESSEE

URS Corporation (URS)

By: _____
Dr. Ken Moore
Mayor
Date: _____

By: _____
Print: _____
Title: _____
Date: _____

Attest:

Eric S. Stuckey
City Administrator
Date: _____

Approved as to form:

Shauna R. Billingsley
Shauna R. Billingsley, City Attorney



Green Branch/Maplewood

Sugarfree Ln

Shadycrest Ln

Crestlawn Pl

Site

Green Branch

Maplegrove Dr

Laurel Ct

Cool Springs Ct

Jenner

Legend

- Street Center Lines
- Franklin Streams





MEMORANDUM

March 10, 2011

TO: Board of Mayor and Aldermen

FROM: Eric Stuckey, City Administrator
Eric Gardner, Director of Engineering
David Parker, City Engineer/CIP Executive

SUBJECT: Amendments to the Master Services Agreements for Stream Restoration Projects

Purpose

The purpose of this memorandum is to provide information to the Board of Mayor and Aldermen (BOMA) regarding amendments to the already approved Master Services Agreements (MSA) for Stream Restoration Projects with AMEC, CEC, and URS.

Background

As a result of erosion and bank failures to streams, much of which can be attributed to the May 2010 flooding, City of Franklin staff inspected the main tributaries to the Harpeth River to determine the amount of damage and debris build-up the streams and drainage facilities have endured. After gathering information and evaluating the damage, there will be design services necessary for various related tasks.

Staff advertised for consultants to submit statements of qualifications for performing various stormwater related design services. Fourteen consulting firms or consortiums submitted their qualifications. Staff reviewed the qualifications and graded each submittal. The top three scoring firms were AMEC, CEC and URS. Staff felt it would be beneficial to enter into a MSA with more than one firm so that design could be completed in a timely manner if an emergency like the May Flood were to occur again. Therefore, the designs would be rotated between the three selected firms as they were identified.

The Master Services Agreements for Stream Restoration Projects with AMEC (COF Contract No. 2010-0154), CEC (COF Contract 2010-0155), and URS (COF Contract 2010-0156) were approved by BOMA on November 9, 2010. Since then, City staff assigned an identified stream restoration project to each of the consultants, and requested a proposal detailing the Professional Design Services required to rehabilitate the individual streams. Amendment #1 for each contract allows the projects to move forward into design.

Amendments

Three separate Contract Amendments are being proposed, one for each consultant/stream project:

1. Amendment No. 1 (COF Contract No. 2011-0025) to the Professional Engineering Services for Stream Restoration Projects Master Agreement with Civil & Environmental Consultants, Inc. (CEC). Their project is the Ralston Branch Stream Restoration in Buckingham Park Subdivision, located near the Buckingham Park Subdivision pool and tennis courts. See attached exhibit for project limits.
2. Amendment No. 1 (COF Contract No. 2011-0033) to the Professional Engineering Services for Stream Restoration Projects Master Agreement with AMEC. They submitted a cost estimate for the restoration of North Ewingville Branch behind Victoria Court. See attached Exhibit for the project limits.
3. Amendment No. 1 (COF Contract No. 2011-0032) to the Professional Engineering Services for Stream Restoration Projects Master Agreement with URS Corporation. They submitted a cost estimate for the restoration of Green Branch that flows through Maplewood Subdivision. See attached Exhibit for the Green Branch for project limits.



HISTORIC
FRANKLIN
TENNESSEE

MEMORANDUM

Financial Impact

1. Amendment No. 1 (COF Contract No. 2011-0025) with CEC for Professional Engineering Services at a cost not to exceed Forty-Two Thousand Four Hundred and No/100 dollars (\$42,400).
2. Amendment No. 1 (COF Contract No. 2011-0033) with AMEC for Professional Engineering Services at a cost not to exceed Fifty Thousand and No/100 dollars (\$50,000).
3. Amendment No. 1 (COF Contract No. 2011-0032) with URS for Professional Engineering Services at a cost not to exceed Fifty Four Thousand and No/100 dollars (\$54,000).

Recommendation

Staff recommends approval of the Amendments to the Master Services Agreements with AMEC (COF Contract No. 2011-0033), CEC (COF Contract No. 2011-0025) and URS (COF Contract No. 2011-0032).