
**MINUTES OF THE WORK SESSION
BOARD OF MAYOR AND ALDERMEN
FRANKLIN, TENNESSEE
CITY HALL BOARDROOM
TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 12, 2013 – 5:00 P.M.**

Board Members

Mayor Ken Moore

Alderman Brandy Blanton

Alderman Clyde Barnhill

Alderman Pearl Bransford

Alderman Beverly Burger

P

Alderman Margaret Martin

P

P

Alderman Dana McLendon, Vice Mayor

P

P

Alderman Ann Petersen

P

P

Alderman Michael Skinner

P

Department Directors/Staff

Eric Stuckey, City Administrator

P

Lisa Clayton, Parks Director

P

Vernon Gerth, ACA Community/Economic Dev.

P

Shirley Harmon, HR Director

P

Russell Truell, ACA Finance & Administration

P

Mark Hilty, Water Management Director

P

David Parker, CIP Executive/City Engineer

P

Paul Holzen, Engineering Director

P

Shauna Billingsley, City Attorney

P

Catherine Powers, Planning/Sustainability Dir.

P

Rocky Garzarek, Fire Chief

P

Joe York, Streets Director

P

David Rahinsky, Police Chief

P

Brad Wilson, Facilities Project Manager

Fred Banner, IT Director

P

Lanaii Benne, Assistant City Recorder

P

Chris Bridgewater, BNS Director

P

Linda Fulwider, Board Recording Secretary

P

Becky Caldwell, Sanitation Services Director

P

1. Call to Order

Mayor Ken Moore called the Work Session to order at 5:00 p.m.

Mayor Moore acknowledged the presence of County Mayor Rogers Anderson.

2. Citizen Comments

Gerald Anderson, 220 Truman Road West, Ladd Park Subdivision, addressed the Carothers Parkway Extension with a short PowerPoint presentation:

- Carothers Parkway (map included)
 - Extension from the North going South ends 80 feet past Truman Road
 - Extension from Long Lane going North goes in 1,100 feet
 - There is a gap of 2,800 feet that will not be finished
- Issues include:
 - Additional traffic from outside areas going through Ladd Park on residential streets to get to Carothers from Truman Road (I-65 construction will further complicate things)
 - Safety issues associated with additional traffic (children at community pool and school bus stops on Truman Road)
 - Single emergency services access to Ladd Park
- Benefits of Completing Carothers Parkway Extension
 - Estimated cost avoidance of 15% to 20%
 - Resolves safety issues
 - Alternative access for emergency responders

Mr. Anderson referred to pictures of the area and said it takes vehicles 20 minutes to get on Peytonsville Road. He asked that the Board make the extension of Carothers an agenda item on both a Work Session and Board meeting for a Letter of Intent to complete the Road. The equipment is already there.

WORK SESSION DISCUSSION ITEMS

- 3. Consideration of Event Permit for Dickens of a Christmas (sponsored by Heritage Foundation/Downtown Franklin Association) in Downtown Franklin on December 14-15, 2013**
David Rahinsky, Police Chief

- 4. Consideration of Event Permit for Christmas Parade Sponsored by Kiwanis Club on December 7, 2013**

David Rahinsky, Police Chief

- 5. Consideration of Event Permit Application for Candlelight Tour in Downtown Franklin on December 6 and 7, 2013**

David Rahinsky, Police Chief

No questions or comments on these three event permits

- 6. Consideration of Liquor License Retailer's Certificate (Renewal) for Cool Springs Wine & Spirits, 1935 Mallory Lane, Franklin, Tennessee 37067 (Mr. James W. Woodard, Jr.)**

Lanaii Benne, Assistant City Recorder

No questions or comments.

- 7.* Consideration of RESOLUTION 2013-67, A Resolution Authorizing Condemnation for the Acquisition of Property for Sanitary Sewer Main for the West Basin**

David Parker, City Engineer/CIP Executive

- 8.* Consideration of Agreement (COF 2013-0144) with Mike Ford Custom Builders for the Extension of Sanitary Sewer for the Blossom Park Development**

David Parker, City Engineer/CIP Executive

Eric Stuckey noted Items 7 and 8 fit together. The Capital Investment Committee (CIC) recommended these items for the acquisition of property and authority for condemnation to serve a development parcel consistent with the West Basin Plan, and use gravity sewer where practical, be brought to Work Session and BOMA. The cap was removed on the cost to acquire easements and the responsibility is on the developer. Gravity sewer is the most practical and least costly. As to it serving just one property owner, it serves a sub-basin area with seven or eight properties.

- ✿ Alderman Burger joined the meeting at 5:10 p.m.

There have been additional meetings with the property owner. The developer and other party related to acquisition have alternatives that don't fit gravity sewer.

Mr. Ford and Mr. Gamble were present on behalf of the developer, as well as Mrs. Chapman, owner of the adjacent property.

Greg Gamble distributed drawings of the Blossom Park PUD Development Plan, Exhibits A, B, and C:

- Exhibit A depicts how the Chapman property could develop in future, and how the sewer line might work; it shows a gravity line. A pump station would have to be installed. The line would follow to an existing gravity manhole in Founders Pointe Subdivision.
- Exhibit B depicts a force main.
- Exhibit C depicts a force main from a pump station tying into existing Sewer Main on Cornerstone Circle. This does not affect Cornerstone Subdivision (they have given the okay for this option); however, it does not follow City policies because of the installation of the pump station.

Paul Holzen noted the developer had all these options when they first met about the project. The City at that time said the developer needed to follow the Plan of Service for gravity. Mr. Stuckey added that is consistent with City policy. Although the City can make exceptions, staff strongly advises doing gravity sewer when possible.

The options provided in the memorandum accompanying Resolution 2013-67:

- Option 1: Authorize condemnation to provide gravity sanitary sewer to Blossom Park

Development and the West Sanitary Sewer Basin.

- Option 2: Require the Developer to install a Pump Station to provide sanitary sewer.
- Option 3: Require the Developer to install a Low Pressure Sewer System as part of the Development.
- Option 4: Deny Sanitary Sewer Service to this area of the West Sanitary Sewer Basin until the developer is able to negotiate an easement.

Staff recommends Option 1 and approval of Resolution 2013-67, a Resolution Authorizing Condemnation for the Acquisition of Property for Sanitary Sewer Main for the West Basin.

Alderman Skinner noted the next development would have to match Blossom Park and the sewer main would be in the street at that connectivity spot. He asked if there were any complaints about not being able to build in certain places.

Alderman Barnhill pointed out that Exhibit B doesn't show a pump station but would be above the 50 ft. marker at the same location. The concern at the CIC meeting was this would adversely affect one property owner for the benefit of another property owner. If a force main and pump station were installed, they would not be in place a long time. Once the Chapman land is developed, it would be replaced with gravity sewer for the next development. He is not against the exception, and said Mrs. Chapman's property would be worth more with sewer. He could support B and C. Mrs. Chapman has said she has no problem with this as long as the sewer is to the back of her property. Alderman Barnhill is not against deviation of policy and said a pump station and force main are not big issues.

Alderman Martin wanted to do what Mrs. Chapman wants. Mrs. Chapman said in her letter that her property would be devalued and she doesn't want any restrictions on her property. It has been proven that property with sewer is more valuable than property without. Mrs. Chapman doesn't want her property divided.

Alderman Petersen agreed that a force main and pump station on the small property would not make that much difference to the City. It was said that the City typically requires gravity, but she recalled the City let Amelia Park pump. She sees it as accommodating and not making a huge problem for the City in the long run.

Alderman Blanton asked the cost difference between a pump station and easements, and would the City maintain the pump station. David Parker responded that there isn't much cost associated with gravity sewer. Pump stations have ongoing costs and they can fail. Paul Holzen added that one reason for not having pump stations is that dual equipment is required and staff must check daily to make sure it is working properly. The pump station would be more costly. If Exhibit C were done, would still have to have easement from Mrs. Chapman.

Carol Chapman, 3001 Del Rio Pike, asked to read her letter dated October 29, 2013 into the record:

I am writing to you regarding our property next to the proposed Blossom/Lynch development. It is my understanding the Board of Mayor and Aldermen will in the end determine where the sewer line will run to that project. I also understand you may decide to run it through the middle of our property, splitting it in half. I am very much opposed to that since building any permanent structure is restricted over a sewer line, as well as, planting trees, etc. That would severely impact our property and lower its value.

I do not want a pre-developed plan for the person who will one day own our place. Who knows what that person/s will want to do? If I live there the rest of my life, the property will go to my children. I cannot foresee at this point what they might do with the property? I do not want the sewer line to place restrictions, therefore, decreasing its value. If the land is bought by a developer, I want that person to be able to draw his own plans considering the zoning constraints already in place.

I am asking you to please consider other options. There is a tap and entrance on the back of our property coming from Cornerstone. The sewer line could run on the back line of our place to the Lynch property. I understand that would require a pump which can be used whenever necessary. Another option would be to run the line from the Lynch's' down Del Rio east to the new pumping station adjacent to the Poplar Grove School.

I am asking you to please consider my concerns and the damage the sewer line would cause to our

property. I would like to have met with you in person but realize that would probably not be possible. Hopefully this letter will convey my concerns to you. If you would like to meet with me or have any questions, please let me know. I can be reached at....

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Mrs. Chapman related that she had talked to the developers and other people and they said the sewer would decrease the value of the property. She would rather have it in tact than get a settlement. Another option would be to go from the tap on Founders Pointe down toward the end of her property, and then go across to the Lynch property. That is a longer route, but she could agree to that rather than going straight across and dividing the property. If the City goes for condemnation it would require a settlement with negotiations, appraisals, etc. and that would cause her a great deal of stress. She is dealing with this alone as her husband is ill and her children live out of state.

9. Consideration of Contract Award to Kronos, Inc. of Chelmsford, MA in the Estimated Total Approximate Amount of \$387,394.50 Non-Recurring Cost and \$58,270 Per Year Recurring Cost for Kronos Workforce Central Suite Human Resources Information System (Purchasing Office Procurement Solicitation No. 2013-003; \$100,000 Budgeted in 110-89550-41650 for Fiscal Year 2014; Contract No. 2013-0127)

Shirley Harmon, Human Resources Director

Eric Stuckey explained there is a slight bump up in the cost of licenses since staff recommends increasing the number of licenses from 700 to 750 employees. The City already has almost 700 employees. It is somewhat above the budgeted amount of \$100,000, and could be as much as \$40,000 over. A budget amendment would be in order. Shirley Harmon noted that nothing would be paid up-front and the cost would be spread over multiple years.

Alderman Martin commented if this is the system talked about for years; the City needs to do it. Ms. Harmon responded that the system is a necessity for healthcare reform, FMLA and other aspects of work done in the department.

10. Discussion of a Draft Resolution/Plan of Service for the Annexation of ±400 Acres by the City of Franklin, Tennessee (Rogers Property)

Vernon Gerth, ACA Community & Economic Development

Catherine Powers, Planning & Sustainability Director

Jonathan Langley, Long-Range Planning Supervisor

Can the City of Franklin provide services at a Franklin level for this development that wants to come in with 780 or so detached single-family homes? Vernon Gerth provided an overview of the Plan of Services and a general overview of projected revenues from a development of this size.

Assumptions

800 Single Family Homes	All fees use current pricing
1,500 square feet each	All developed at the same time
\$250,000 value per residence	All fees paid at the same time
Does not provide for offsets/recoveries	Reclaimed water available
No golf course (i.e. minimum/no impact for recreational facilities)	No irrigation water since reclaimed available
Household size is 1.5	

Comparison of COF and Pulte Group Recommended Plan of Services for Reclaimed Water, Wastewater & Roadway Enhancements

	City Staff POS Recommendation	Pulte Group Recommendation	Status of City Staff/Pulte Group Discussions
Reclaimed Water	Title 18 of the City's Municipal Code requires reclaimed water to be made available to the annexed area for purposes of irrigating both common areas and private property when lawn irrigation systems are installed	During initial discussions, the City believed an existing reclaimed water main was within 1,000 feet of the property, which, by ordinance, would require the developer to extend the main to and throughout their property. It has been determined the main is more than 1,000 feet from the property to be annexed and the City has agreed to extend or offer recovery for the cost of extending the main to the 1,000 foot distance	In Agreement. The City is responsible for extending the reclaimed water line to within 1,000 feet of the property. City staff has agreed to either extend the main or offer recovery for the cost incurred by the developer extending the main to the 1,000 foot distance

	City Staff POS Recommendation	Pulte Group Recommendation	Status of City Staff/Pulte Group Discussions
Wastewater	Essentially, City staff is requiring the developer to design and construct wastewater infrastructure in accordance with recently completed West Drainage Basin Study. Such improvements include eliminating the existing Westhaven Pump Station and constructing a new West Basin Primary Pump Station (the WBPPS) within the proposed Roger's Farm development. This new pump station would be designed so it can be upsized in future for the anticipated sewage flows from the entire West Basin.	The developer has expressed concerns about the timing and the level of improvements associated with taking the existing Westhaven Pump Station off-line and installing a new West Basin Primary Pump Station with related sanitary sewer infrastructure.	Generally in agreement until modeling is completed. At this stage, City staff has not determined the remaining capacity of the Westhaven Pump Station and associated sanitary sewer infrastructure. Detailed assessment will be performed should BOMA decide staff and developer proceed with preparing annexation related documentation, which includes finalizing the Plan of Services and associated development agreements that specify required infrastructure, responsibility for installation, and timing for installation.
Roadway Enhancements	<p>City staff is recommending the developer be required to construct a Major Collector section from Highway 96 to the Intersection of Del Rio Pike and Cotton Lane. The developer would be responsible for addressing horizontal geometric deficiencies as well as providing two 12' travel lanes separated by 16' median to provide access control, two 4' bicycle lanes, sidewalk on both sides of the roadway, roundabout junctions (or turn lanes as necessary), a curb and gutter drainage system and all necessary rights-of-way and easements. These improvements are to be coordinated with the Mack Hatcher NW Extension Project for the proposed intersection of Mack Hatcher and Del Rio Pike.</p> <p>With the east-west portion of Del Rio Pike currently identified as a future Major Collector roadway, City staff is recommending the developer be required to construct a major Collector section from the intersection with Carlisle Lane to the existing improved section along Del Rio Pike. The developer would be responsible for providing two 12' travel lanes, two 4' bicycle lanes, sidewalks on both sides of the roadway, roundabout junctions (or turn lanes as necessary), a curb and gutter drainage system and all necessary rights-of-way and easements.</p>	<p>The developer proposes to phase the improvements as follows: <u>Phase 1:</u> The developer will construct 1) a portion of COF MTP Project 55 consisting of Carlisle Lane from Hwy 96 to Del Rio Pike and 2) a proposed left turn lane on Del Rio Pike at the primary entrance to the annexed property. The proposed Carlisle improvements will be constructed with a modified section of COF TS-9 that includes two 12' travel lanes, two 4' bike lanes and curb and gutter. The remainder of the section's improvements (i.e. Sidewalks) will occur with the future development of the lands adjacent to the roadway. <u>Phase 2:</u> The developer will construct a portion of COF MTP Project 41 consisting of Del Rio Pike from Poplar Grove Elementary School to Carlisle Lane. The proposed Del Rio Pike improvements will be constructed as a modified section of COF TS-9 that includes two 12' travel lanes, two 4' bike lanes and curb and gutter. The remainder of the section's improvements (i.e. sidewalks) will occur with the future development of the lands adjacent to the roadway. <u>Phase 3:</u> The developer will construct a portion of COF MTP Project 66 consisting of Del Rio Pike from Carlisle Lane to the primary entrance to the annexed property. The proposed Del Rio Pike improvements will be constructed as a modified section of COF TS-9 that includes two 12' travel lanes, two 4' bike lanes and curb and gutter. The remainder of the section's improvements (i.e. sidewalks) will occur with the future development of the lands adjacent to the roadway</p>	<p>Not in agreement.</p> <p>While it is understood roadway improvements should be phased to coincide with development, the developer and their traffic consult find the existing roads have sufficient capacity and do not concur with City staff recommendation for enhancing the safety of the existing road network.</p> <p>Essentially, the developer does not want to construct the 16' median section from Hwy 96 to the intersection of Del Rio Pike and Cotton Lane and proposes an alternative for improvements that are not as encompassing as recommended by staff. Furthermore, the developer recommends some of the roadway improvements identified by staff being tied to the development of adjacent property.</p>

Discussion:

- Alderman Martin said were Mack Hatcher completed and Del Rio had all the improvements,

she would still vote against annexation. She wants to keep it as historic and pristine as it is. No one wants it; no more money should be spent on this.

- Alderman Burger considers the area as Franklin's Natchez Trace. She is certain it is not the place for this development.
- Alderman Bransford related the people heard from are concerned about the roads, the enhancements proposed by staff that should be there, and about Mack Hatcher, Westhaven and traffic issues. She didn't think this would be a good thing at this time.
- Alderman Skinner spoke to concerns about the number of developments already approved along South Carothers and because of the debt policy not being able to fund the entire road that will be needed with other approved development. If this were approved, it would be the first of many that would compete with development funds for things already here. Now is not the time for this development. He doesn't feel staff should go through more time and expense if this is not going to be accepted.
- Alderman Petersen commented the road congestion makes the development unworkable.
- Alderman Blanton related that most have met with the developer. It is a great project, but it will not work where they want it to go. She appreciates the efforts of the developers, but the aldermen have to do what they were elected to do.
- Vernon Gerth said the comments were all very thoughtful, but the UGB was established to look into the future along with the Land Use Plan. It does call for residential in this area. Citizen input is important.
- Bruce Sloan, PulteGroup, 370 Mallory Station #500, Franklin, commented that the way things are written it appears they don't concur with staff about enhancing the safety of the roadway. They are in favor of improving the roadways. They do realize those roads are not safe, whether they do anything or not. They thought they could provide the City a service by making the roads safer to travel. Why go out there? The City has a Land Use Plan and has already annexed the adjacent Moore property. The City has made significant improvements to serve northwest Franklin, such as Mack Hatcher, Carlisle Lane, and others. Their feedback is the redlined version of the POS in the packet. The POS for the 400 acres includes condemnation to improve roads for existing residents and will require easements and condemnation. They can fund this.
- Jack Lance, 3033 Del Rio Pike, Franklin, stated he has lived in his 150-year-old home at the curve in front of Whitehall Subdivision for 7 years. He has seen a great increase in traffic during these years. Even without this development there is a challenge going toward Cottonwood. A fence has been hit 11 times, and a guardrail replaced twice by the City. Bikers and runners are on the road working through the traffic. The two access points through Whitehall are not enough. People living on the north end won't go to Mack Hatcher, as that would be backtracking. Can the developer afford \$10 million worth of road, safety, and beautification improvements? He asked BOMA to stay balanced with decisions.
- Joseph Cashia, 3200 Del Rio Pike, Franklin, owns Meeting of the Waters. He said that people do not want this development. The rural setting is what attracted them to the area. He stated, not now, not there for this development.
- Ron Imbrecht, 1020 Whitehall Drive, Franklin, read a prepared statement against annexation that listed concerns about the two access points, lack of curbs and lighting, and the additional traffic that would degrade the character and lifestyle of their small close-knit community.
- Bill Griesse, 134 Riverwood Drive, Cottonwood, was pleased that the Aldermen were hearing what the people have to say. He noted he was speaking on behalf of Cottonwood and other neighborhoods. He mentioned an online petition with about 1,100 signatures. One point of discussion, between the stop sign at Cottonwood and to Berry's Chapel and Hillsboro Road, the road is extremely narrow with hardly room for two cars to pass. It is residential and bikers use the road. Development below Whitehall, drivers going north will go Berry's Chapel to a thoroughfare. Before annexation and development that needs to be examined.

• Vice Mayor McLendon joined the meeting.

- James Eaneman, 1104 Brookside Drive, said this was his second time speaking to this issue. He thought it remarkable the City is doing more with less in providing superior services, but it is bordering on government anorexia by taking on more and not increasing the budget. There are countless cities throughout the country with stories about well-meaning presentations from developers and builders about panaceas of revenue, but the reverse is true. Consider the costs associated with road widening and utility moves and when roads are complete, the

maintenance cost. Develop at the appropriate time.

- Jase Gentry, 875 Old Charlotte Pike E, read a letter from the Gentry Family:
Pursuant the upcoming important decisions to be made concerning the Western part of the city and the county, we as a family wish to voice our concerns about the future growth and development.

Gentry's Farm is a century farm, as well as a family farm for eight generations. We feel that our family farm contributes to the city, county and surrounding areas in a valuable way preserving our personal heritage, as well as the agricultural and historical heritage of Williams County and the city of Franklin.

While we feel that development has already greatly impacted this area with the Westhaven development, future development in this growth area of the county and city will obliterate what makes Franklin and Williamson County special and why everybody wants to come here.

The current proposed easements and roads bisecting our farm, while disturbing to the family, are also the first steps in the demise of the family farm. This process has been repeated far too many times recently in Williamson County and Franklin, and it is time to stop this process for the good of all. For reasons outlined above, the Gentry family opposes any attempts to acquire portions of Gentry's Farm for rights of way and or easement.

Thank you for your consideration regarding this matter.

11. Consideration of RESOLUTION 2013-72, A Resolution to Amend the 2013-14 Budget Relative to the City of Franklin Organization and Personnel Charts

Shirley Harmon, Human Resources Director

There will be two resolutions, 2013-72 above and one for the Water Budget.

12. Discussion of Proposed ORDINANCE 2013-59, An Ordinance to Amend the City of Franklin Municipal Code by Creating Title 25, Chapter 4, Relating to Parks and the Dedication of Public Land for Parks

Vernon Gerth, ACA Community & Economic Development

Lisa Clayton Parks Director

Lisa Clayton is working on a needs assessment for the Master Park Plan. The Parkland Dedication section that is currently in the City Zoning Ordinance will be moved to the Municipal Code through the creation of Title 25. Changes: Try to provide alternative basing fees in lieu of on value of land; try to encourage developers to provide recreational amenities in developments to receive credit for.

This will be discussed at the FMPC/BOMA Joint Meeting.

13. Consideration of RESOLUTION 2013-64, A Resolution to Approve the Development Plan for the Andover PUD Subdivision on ±1.57 Acres for the Property Located at 1126 Liberty Pike

Ann Petersen, FMPC Representative

Catherine Powers noted that on October 24, 2013 the Planning Commission recommend this item for approval by a 5-2 vote, with 1 recused. It is for five single-family dwelling units and is a continuation of Andover Subdivision.

Alderman Petersen advised she had voted against this at the Planning Commission meeting and pointed out two things she saw as problems.

- Scott Wimpelberg, 307 Logan's Circle, Cheswicke Farm HOA, thought the points made by residents during the Planning discussion were not factored in. Among the concerns: differing costs of square footage presented, design allows just three cars on the street for five homes in a cul-de-sac, squeezed emergency lane, and he alluded to a bait and switch. He said it would change the character of that part of Franklin.
- Lyndon Wilson, 404 Dominion Court, Cheswicke Farm. The view from his property is the pond, parkland into equestrian fences and homes. This development would change his view to the backs of five homes squeezed close together. He wouldn't have bought his home three years ago, especially for the price, had he known this would happen. There was no indication these could be built. They would have to share the pond that he has maintained for three years. He

- feels it would turn into a trash bin for him to take care of, not to mention 20 more people and dogs running around causing a security risk. He asked that BOMA not allow this to go through.
- Greg Gamble showed the Board three exhibits depicting the overall subdivision bordered on all sides with existing neighborhoods. Instead of a cul-de-sac, make it an eyebrow. He also spoke of lot sizes and 60 ft. stream buffers in the rear yards. The homes would be more custom in design specifically for the lot size and would meet Franklin design standards. The exhibits were not provided for the record.

14. Consideration of RESOLUTION 2013-69, A Resolution to Approve the Development Plan for the Cool Springs Galleria PUD Subdivision on ±86.52 Acres for the Property Located at 1800 Galleria Boulevard

Alderman Ann Petersen, FMPC Representative

Alderman Petersen commented it is a nice project for improvement.

15. Consideration of Proposed Contract 2013-0198, A Letter of Intent with Friends of Franklin Parks, LLC for Multipurpose Equestrian Arena at Harlinsdale Farm

Lisa Clayton, Parks Director

Mindy Tate and Friends of Franklin Parks (FOFP) board members were present. The FOFP is securing private funding and other donations to bring the horses back to Harlinsdale. It is important they have the Letter of Intent (LOI) as a clear endorsement agreement to show to potential donors. They would like to have the agreement executed as quickly as possible.

Eric Stuckey explained the Letter of Intent serves as a statement of the mutual intent of FOFP and the City of Franklin to work cooperatively for the future development of Harlinsdale Farm. The LOI shows support without a binding agreement and is consistent with the Master Plan. FOFP asks that this item be on the November 26th Work Session and BOMA agendas due to the time constraints of this meeting not allowing them to give their full presentation.

Alderman Burger wanted to be sure residents understood these public/private items are brought to the Board for consideration; the City is not the initiator.

Greg Young, an attorney on the FOFP board related he had worked with staff attorney Kristen Corn on the Letter of Intent. He thought Mr. Stuckey would have the authority to sign the agreement. The FOFP would like to start fund raising as soon as tomorrow since donors want to make their donations in this tax year.

Vice Mayor McLendon was adamant that BOMA vote on this item to avoid any potential problems in future.

ADJOURN

Work Session adjourned @ 7:13 p.m.

Dr. Ken Moore, Mayor

Minutes prepared by: Linda Fulwider, Board Recording Secretary, City Administrator's Office - 1/3/2014 8:52 AM